UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,997	11/24/2003	Cris E. Pasto	RQJ-005CIP	3390
53317 7590 09/20/2007 AQUILLA PATENTS & MARKS, PLLC 221 COE HILL ROAD			EXAMINER	
			CHAN, KO HUNG	
CENTER HAR	RBOR, NH 03226-3605	3605		PAPER NUMBER
			3632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
, ·	10/720,997	PASTO, CRIS E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Korie H. Chan	3632
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the second part of the material part of the second part of the material part of	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	January 2007.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20,25 and 26</u> is/are pending in th	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	· ·	
5) Claim(s) 10-20 and 26 is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>2-9</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	, ,	OTO 445
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	_ ·

Art Unit: 3632

Double Patenting

Page 2

Claims 1 of this application conflict with claim 1 of Application No. 11/115782, 11/115733, 11/115742. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of copending Application No. 11/115782, 11/115733, 11/115742. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3632

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanka (US patent no. 6,776,383) in view of Pitcairn (US patent no. 2,403,456). Lanka teaches a method of stabilizing a vehicle via a stake (col. 4, lines 40-42) driven through a brace system footer (20) into the ground to stabilize the vehicle. However, Lanka does not teach driving a stake through the vehicle itself. Pitcairn teaches a method for stop movement of a vehicle and thus stabilizing vehicle comprising placing a stake (27) through the vehicle compartment and driving the stake through the vehicle floor and into the ground. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have also driven driving a stake through the unstable vehicle in Lanka to stop the vehicle from movement as taught by Pitcairn. However, Lanka and Pitcaim combined does not disclose the stake is driven through at a vehicle compartment and through the hood area. It would have been an obvious matter of mechanical expedient to locate the stake through vehicle engine compartment and hood area. Finding a suitable area of the vehicle such as the engine compartment and hood area would have been well within the ambit of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10-20, and 26 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3632

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art of record demonstrate various stakes extending from a vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 571-272-6816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on (571)272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,997 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Korie H. Chan/ Korie H. Chan, Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

khc September 14,2007